

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 5637-5640

Tetrahedron Letters

TEMPO-linked metalloporphyrins as efficient catalysts for selective oxidation of alcohols and sulfides

Jian-Ying Huang, Shi-Jun Li and Yan-Guang Wang*

Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, PR China

Received 19 March 2006; revised 5 June 2006; accepted 6 June 2006 Available online 27 June 2006

Abstract—Six new TEMPO-linked porphyrins and metalloporphyrins were synthesized and they exhibited efficient catalytic activity for selective oxidation of alcohols and sulfides to the corresponding aldehydes, ketones and sulfoxides using NaOCl as oxidant. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The importance of metalloporphyrins as chemical models of heme-containing enzymes and their use as catalysts for selective and controlled oxidation reactions have prompted extensive studies¹ of their reactions with a variety of oxidants including hydroperoxides,² monoperoxysulfate,³ iodosylbenzene,⁴ iodobenzene diacetate,⁵ peracids,⁶ pyridine *N*-oxide,⁷ and hypochlorite.⁸ As cytochrome P-450 mimics, simple Fe- or Mn-porphyrin complexes were found to be good catalysts for transferring oxygen atoms from these oxidants with formation of epoxides from alkenes,^{2,9} alcohols or ketones from alkanes,^{3,5,10} ketones from alcohols,^{7a} and sulfoxides from sulfides.^{8b,11} On the other hand, stable nitroxyl radicals, such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-piperidyl-1-oxy (TEMPO), have been found to be another kind of efficient oxidation catalysts¹² to oxidize alcohols,¹³ diols,¹⁴ sulfides,¹⁵ benzylic ethers,¹⁶ phosphines,¹⁷ naphthols,¹⁷ and amines.¹⁸ Generally, TEMPO oxidation system is similar to metalloporphyrin oxidation system because they are suitable for the same oxidation substrates and/or oxidants. As a part of our works on metalloporphyrin-catalyzed oxidation reactions,^{10a} we are interested in the metalloporphyrin catalysts containing a TEMPO moiety. For this reason, we synthesized several TEMPO-attached porphyrins and metalloporphyrins, and investigated their catalytic abilities to selectively oxidize alcohols and sulfides using NaOCl as oxidant. We report herein the results of this effort.

As shown in Scheme 1, porphyrins **3a** and **3b** were synthesized from aromatic aldehydes **1** (1 equiv), **2** (3 equiv) and pyrrole (4 equiv) according to Lindesy method.¹⁹ Porphyrins **3a** and **3b** were hydrolyzed using NaOH to give acids **4a** and **4b**, respectively. Esterification of acids **4** with 4-hydroxyl-TEMPO in the presence of DCC and DMAP afforded the TEMPO-linked porphyrins **5a** and **5b**, which exhibit a three-line peak character of ESR spectra of nitroxyl.²⁰ TEMPO-linked metalloporphyrins **6** and **7**²⁰ were obtained by chelating **5** with FeCl₂ and MnCl₂ according to the published method.²¹

Initially, we evaluated the TEMPO-linked metalloporphyrins for their catalytic activity for epoxidation of styrene using H_2O_2 as oxidant. However, **6** and **7** were found to be unstable to oxidant and bleached within 10 min. Fortunately, we found that the TEMPO-linked porphyrins and metalloporphyrins were stable in NaOCl solution, and they could efficiently catalyze the selective oxidization of alcohols and sulfides using NaOCl as oxidant.

The oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde was used as a model reaction (Table 1). The catalytic oxidation system includes catalyst (1 mol %), KBr (10 mol %) and aqueous NaOCl (1.25 equiv, pH 8.6). The catalysts TEMPO, Mn(TDCPP)Cl,^{1a} TEMPO-linked porphyrins **5a** and **5b** as well as TEMPO-linked metalloporphyrins **6a**, **6b**, **7a** and **7b** were examined. We found that in the absence of the catalyst, the yield was rather poor (Table 1, entry 1). Catalysts **5–7** (Table 1, entries 4–9) gave higher yields than TEMPO (Table 1, entry 2) and Mn(TDCPP)Cl (Table 1, entry 3). The Mn complexes **7a** and **7b** exhibited better catalytic activity (Table 1, entries 8 and 9) than the corresponding porphyrins **5a** and **5b** (Table 1, entries 4 and 5) and Fe complexes **6a** and **6b**

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 571 87951512; e-mail: orgwyg@ zju.edu.cn

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.06.039



Scheme 1. Synthesis of TEMPO-linked porphyrins and metalloporphyrins.

Table 1. Catalyzed oxidation of benzyl alcohol with NaOCl^a

	CH₂OH ↓	Cat.	СНО
	Na		
		1 ₂ U ₂ /Π ₂ U	<i>✓</i>
Entry	Catalyst	Yield (%) ^b	Bleached time
			of catalyst (min)
1	None	5	
2	TEMPO	85	>30
3	Mn(TDCPP)Cl	48	>30
4	5a	89	>30
5	5b	90	>30
6	6a	86	>30
7	6b	92	>30
8	7a	97	>30
9	7b	96	>30

^a Benzyl alcohol (1 mmol) was oxidized by NaOCl (1.25 mmol, pH 8.6) in the presence of TEMPO (1 mol %), porphyrins (1 mol %) or metalloporphyrins (1 mol %) and KBr (10 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂/ $H_2O = 1:1$ (8 mL) at 0 °C for 30 min. ^b Isolated yields.

(Table 1, entries 6 and 7). The yields were almost quantitative when Mn complexes 7 were used (Table 1, entries 8 and 9).

The oxidations of various alcohols were investigated using the selected catalyst $7a.^{22}$ As shown in Table 2,

primary alcohols were oxidized to the corresponding aldehydes (Table 2, entries 2–4) and secondary alcohols were oxidized to the corresponding ketones (Table 2, entries 1, 5 and 6). The yields are good to excellent (87–99%). It is noteworthy that the silyl ether protecting group (TBDMS) is stable under the reaction conditions (Table 2, entry 6).

The selective oxidation of sulfide to sulfoxide was also investigated. Glycosyl sulfide phenyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-1-S- β -D-gluco-pyranoside (8), an important synthetic intermediate containing one sulfide and two hydroxyl groups, was used as substrate. The reaction was performed with NaOCl, TEMPO-linked metalloporphyrins (1 mol %), KBr (10 mol %) and Bu₄NBr (5 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂/saturated aq NaHCO₃ solution at 0 °C (Table 3). The sulfide 8 was oxidized to sulfoxide 9 with high selectivity even using excess of NaOCl (2.0 equiv) (Table 3, entries 1–4 and 6–8). The Fe complexes **6a** and **6b** gave lower yields due to their instability to oxidant (Table 3, entries 1 and 2), while the Mn complexes 7a and 7b afforded the oxidation product 9 in satisfactory yields (Table 3, entries 3 and 4). It is noteworthy that the hydroxyl groups of substrate molecule were not oxidized. In our oxidation system, TEM-PO-linked metalloporphyrin 7b is more efficient than the published metalloporphyrin catalysts Mn(TPP)Cl,^{1a} Mn(TDCPP)Cl and TEMPO.

Table 2. 7a-Catalyzed oxidation of alcohols with NaOCl^a



^a Alcohol (1 mmol) was oxidized by NaOCl (1.25 mmol, pH 8.6) in the presence of **7a** (1 mol %) and KBr (10 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂/H₂O = 1:1 (8 mL) at 0 °C for 30 min.

^b Yields were determined by GC analyses based on substrates used. ^c Isolated yields.

The generality of this protocol was examined by a variety of sulfides using **7b** as catalyst.²³ As shown in Table 4, sulfides were oxidized to the corresponding sulfoxides and no overoxidation to sulfone was observed on the basis of TLC analysis. The yields are excellent (88–96%) and the protective groups and hydroxyl groups remained intact during the reactions (Table 4, entries 8 and 9).

Table 4. 7b-Catalyzed oxidation of sulfides with NaOCl^a

Table 3. Catalyzed oxidation of sulfide 8 with NaOCla

Ph C	SPh	6 or 7	Ph 0 0 0 HO SPh
	0H 8	CH_2Cl_2 /aq. N	aHCO ₃ 9
Entry	Catalyst	Yield (%) ^b	Bleached time of catalyst
1	6a	50	$\sim 20 \min$
2	6b	62	$\sim 30 \min$
3	7a	81	>30 min
4	7b	86	>30 min
5°	7b	88	>30 min
6	TEMPO	80	>30 min
7	Mn(TPP)Cl	78	>30 min
8	Mn(TDCPP)Cl	84	>30 min

^a Compound **8** (0.5 mmol) was oxidized by NaOCl (1 mmol) in the presence of metalloporphyrins (1 mol %), KBr (10 mol %) and Bu₄NBr (5 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂/saturated aq NaHCO₃ = 1:1 (10 mL) at 0 °C for 30 min.

^b Isolated yields.

^c Using 1.25 equiv NaOCl.

In conclusion, we have synthesized six new TEMPOlinked porphyrins and metalloporphyrins, and found that Mn complexes of TEMPO-linked porphyrins could efficiently catalyze the selective oxidation of alcohols and sulfides using NaOCl as oxidant. Using this procedure, primary alcohols, secondary alcohols and sulfides were oxidized to the corresponding aldehydes, ketones and sulfoxides in high yields with excellent selectivity. This type of catalysts is more efficient in comparison with the conventionally used TEMPO, Mn(TPP)Cl

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1	PhSCH ₃	O ⊨ Ph ^{∕ S} `CH₃	93
2	<i>p</i> -TolSCH ₃	0 <i>р-</i> Тоl ^{-S_} СН ₃	89
3	PhSEt	$P_{\rm H^{-S-CH_2CH_3}}$	95
4	<i>p</i> -TolSCH ₂ CH ₃	$\rho_{\rm H}$ p-ToI $^{-S}$ CH $_2$ CH $_3$	92
5	PhSPh	O II Ph ^{~S} ~Ph	96
6	PhSTol-p	O I Ph ^{-S} Tol- <i>p</i>	95
7	PhCH ₂ SCH ₂ Ph	O Ph、S_Ph	94
8	Ph O HO HO OH S-Tol-p	Ph O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	91
9	Ph 0 0 SPh HO OH	Ph O O I HO OH	88

^a Different sulfide (1 mmol) was oxidized by NaOCl (1.25 mmol, pH 8.6) in the presence of **7b** (1 mol %), KBr (10 mol %) and Bu₄NBr (5 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂/saturated aq NaHCO₃ = 1:1 (10 mL) at 0 °C for 30 min.

^b Isolated yields.

and Mn(TDCPP)Cl, especially for the oxidation of alcohols.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Specialized Research Fund for Doctoral Program of Higher Education, China (No. 20050335101), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (No. R404109) as well as the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutions of MOE, PR China.

References and notes

- (a) Meunier, B. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1411–1456; (b) Dolphin, D.; Traylor, T. G.; Xie, L. Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 251–259.
- (a) Bartoli, J.-F.; Barch, K. L.; Palacio, M.; Battioni, P.; Mansuy, D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 2001, 1718– 1719; (b) Nam, W.; Oh, S.-Y.; Sun, Y. J.; Kim, J.; Kim, W.-K.; Woo, S. K.; Shin, W. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7903–7906.
- Mohajer, D.; Rezaeifard, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1881–1884.
- 4. Gross, Z.; Mahammed, A. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1999, 142, 367–372.
- (a) Li, Z.; Xia, C.-G.; Xu, C.-Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 9229–9232; (b) Li, Z.; Xia, C.-G. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2004, 214, 95–101.
- (a) Takata, T.; Ando, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1983, 24, 3631–3634; (b) Mohajer, D.; Tayebee, R.; Goudarziafshar, H. J. Chem. Res. (S) 1999, 168–169.
- 7. (a) Nestler, O.; Severin, K. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3907–3909;
 (b) Zhang, J.-L.; Che, C.-M. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1911– 1914.
- (a) Meunier, B.; Guilmet, E.; De Carvalho, M.-E.; Poilblanc, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6668–6676; (b) Ramsden, J. H.; Drago, R. S.; Riley, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3958–3961; (c) Collman, J. P.; Tanaka, H.; Hembre, R. T.; Brauman, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3689–3690.
- Chan, W.-K.; Liu, P.; Yu, W.-Y.; Wong, M.-K.; Che, C.-M. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1597–1599.
- (a) Li, S.-J.; Wang, Y.-G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 8013–8015; (b) Assis, M. D.; Smith, J. R. L. J. Chem. Soc., *Perkin Trans.* 1998, 2, 2221–2226.
- Baciocchi, E.; Gerini, M. F.; Lapi, A. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3586–3589.
- Adam, W.; Saha-Möller, C. R.; Ganeshpure, P. A. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3499–3548.
- (a) Anelli, P. L.; Biffi, C.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2559–2562; (b) Sheldon, R. A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Brink, G.-J. T.; Dijksman, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 774–781; (c) Liu, R.; Dong, C.; Liang, X.; Wang, X.; Hu, X. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 729–731; (d) Wu, X. E.; Ma, L.; Ding, M. X.; Gao, L. X. Synlett 2005, 4, 607–610; (e) Zhao, M. Z.; Li, J.; Mano, E.; Song, Z. G.; Tschaen, D. M.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Reider, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2564–2566; (f) Cecchetto, A.; Fontana, F.; Minisci, F.; Recupero, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 6651–6653; (g) Betzemeier, B.; Cavazzini, M.; Quici, S.; Knochel, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4343–4346.
- Anelli, P. L.; Banfi, S.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2970–2972.

- (a) Siedlecka, R.; Skarzewski, J. Synthesis 1994, 401– 404; (b) Siedlecka, R.; Skarzewski, J. Synlett 1996, 757– 758.
- (a) Miyazawa, T.; Endo, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 3395–3398; (b) Cho, N. S.; Park, C. H. J. Korean Chem. Soc. **1995**, *39*, 657–665.
- 17. Hunter, D. H.; Barton, D. H. R.; Motherwell, W. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 603–606.
- Semmelhack, M. F.; Schmid, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6732–6734.
- Lindsey, J. S.; Schreiman, I. C.; Hsu, H. C.; Kearney, P. C.; Marguerettaz, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 827–836.
- 20. Spectral data for selected compounds. Compound **3a**: ¹H \hat{NMR} (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : -2.77 (s, 2H), 1.41 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 4.41 (dd, J = 7.2 Hz, 14.2 Hz, 2H), 4.91 (s, 2H), 7.3 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.73–7.77 (m, 9H), 8.13 (dd, J = 2.2 Hz, 8.3 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 6H), 8.85 (ad, v = 2.12 Hz, or Hz, 2.13, 0.12, 0113.2, 119.8, 120.4, 126.9, 127.9, 134.8, 135.8, 142.4, 158.0, 169.3; MS (ESI) m/z: 717.1 ([M+H]⁺). Compound **3b**: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : -2.62 (s, 2H), 1.40 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 4.39 (dd, J = 7.1 Hz, 14.2 Hz, 2H), 4.90 (s, 2H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.67–7.69 (m, 3H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 6H), 8.12 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 8.65 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 6H), 8.85 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.4, 61.7, 65.9, 113.1, 113.4, 114.3, 120.8, 127.8, 127.9, 130.6, 135.2, 135.6, 138.8, 138.9, 139.5, 139.8, 157.9, 169.1; MS (ESI) m/z: 923 ([M+H]⁺). Compound 4a: MS (ESI) m/z: 689 ($[M+H]^+$). Compound **4b**: MS (ESI) m/z: 895 ($[M+H]^+$). Compound **5a**: HMRS (ESI): Calcd for $C_{55}H_{49}N_5O_4$ ([M+H]⁺) 843.3784, found 843.3776; ESR (1×10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ in CHCl₃): 3 lines, $g_0 = 2.0059$, $A_N = 15.9$ Gs. Compound **5b**: HMRS (ESI): Calcd for C₅₅H₄₃Cl₆N₅O₄ ([M+H]⁺) 1047.1446, Found 1047.1452. Compound **6a**: MS (ESI) m/z: 896.1 ([M-Cl]⁺). Compound **6b**: MS (ESI) m/z: 1102.1 $([M-C1]^+)$. Compound 7a: MS (ESI) m/z: 895 $([M-C1]^+)$. Compound 7b: MS (ESI) m/z: 1101 ([M-Cl]⁺).
- 21. Borovkov, V. V.; Lintuluoto, J. M.; Inoue, Y. Synlett 1999, 61–62.
- 22. General procedure for the catalytic oxidation of alcohols to carbonyl derivatives. To a solution of alcohols (1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) was added the catalyst (1 mol %), KBr (10 mol %) and saturated NaHCO₃ solution (2 mL). 0.35 M NaOCl solution (2.86 mL, pH 8.6) was added at 0 °C and the mixture well stirred at the same temperature for 30 min. The organic phase was separated, dried over Na₂SO₄, and analyzed by GC or evaporated and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel.
- 23. General procedure for the catalytic oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides. To a solution of sulfides (1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was added the catalyst (1 mol %), Bu₄NBr (5 mol %), KBr (10 mol %) and saturated aq NaHCO₃ solution (2 mL). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and then 0.73 M NaOCl in saturated NaHCO3 solution (0.92 mL, 1.25 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, and then the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracts with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 3 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine and dried (Na₂SO₄). The products were purified by chromatography on silica gel. Compound 9: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 2.96 (s, 1H), 3.41–3.42 (m, 1H), 3.64 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (t, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.24–4.28 (m, 2H), 4.31 (s, 1H), 5.55 (s, 1H), 7.35-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.46-4.47 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.58 (m, 3H), 7.70–7.72 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 68.3$, 71.0, 73.4, 74.4, 79.7, 93.8, 102.2, 124.8, 126.5, 128.6, 129.5, 129.6, 132.3, 136.9, 141.6; MS (ESI) m/z: 399 ([M+Na]⁺), 775 ([2M+Na]⁺).